SR 710 North Study

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting No. 15 – May 14, 2014 Stakeholder Outreach Advisory Committee Meeting No. 11– May 15, 2014





Ground Rules

Q&A after each section of the presentation

- Focus questions on information presented.
- General comments and Q&A at the end



Public Outreach Activities





Project Report and Environmental Studies Documentation Update



Recap of TAC No. 14 and SOAC No.10

- Public Outreach Activities
- Project Report and Environmental Studies Documentation Update
 - Recap of previous TAC/SOAC meetings
 - Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Growth (Research, Forecasts and Trends)
 - Update on Preliminary Engineering and Environmental Technical Studies

Feedback Received During TAC No. 14/ SOAC No. 10

- Does the construction schedule for the SR 710 North Study take into account the Devil's Gate Dam (silt removal) project in Pasadena?
- What is the relationship between the Draft EIR/EIS and the Draft Project Report?
- How many public hearings will there be and where? How long will the comment period be?
- > Will you be doing a Health Impact Assessment?
- > When will the cost-benefit analysis be released?
- Will you be using any physical barriers to prevent trucks from entering the tunnel?



- Who decides trucks or no trucks? When will this decision be made?
- Will the Draft EIR/EIS address how the tunnel will be paid for?
- Will the Draft EIR/EIS be available in Spanish?
- Would hard copies of the Draft EIR/EIS be provided at designated libraries?
- How will SB743 potentially effect evaluations in the Draft EIR/EIS?

Update on Preliminary Engineering and Environmental Technical Studies



Travel Forecasting Approach for Alternatives Analysis (AA) and PA/ED

- AA: 2008 SCAG RTP Model (2035 Horizon Year) and Metro Model
- PA/ED: 2012 SCAG RTP Model (2035 Horizon Year) and Metro Model
- Integrated/Blended Approach
 - SCAG (Highway) and Metro (Transit)
 - SCAG Heavy Duty Truck Model
 - Toll procedures
- Technical Stakeholders (Modelers)
 - Metro, SCAG, Caltrans
 - December 2011 Workshop

Presented at TAC No. 2

Travel Demand Modeling Steps

- Obtain and review model inputs and processes (scripts)
- Replicate base model results
- Prepare for validation ("match reality")
- Validation (iterative) of existing conditions model
- Scenario analysis
- Summarize results

13

Travel Demand Modeling History				
Dates	Model Version	Activities		
June-December 2012	6.0	Obtain new model, test features, match SCAG results (while finishing AA phase)		
January– March 2013		Initial validation (partially complete)		
March-June 2013	6.1	Obtain new model, update scripts, and test for SCAG results match		
March-July 2013	"SR 710 North" model,	Validation: update tools and processes, and conduct iterative runs until results were within targets		
June-August 2013	based on the SCAG 2012 RTP	Future (2035) baseline – apply validation changes and test model runs (especially for tolling)		
July-October 2013		Future (2035) alternatives analysis - coding, testing, toll iterations, and model output		
October 2013- February 2014	v6.1 model	Opening year (2020/2025) alternatives analysis model runs		

Preliminary Engineering Update



SR 710 North Study Build Alternatives

- Transportation System Management (TSM)/Transportation Demand Management (TDM)
- Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) with TSM/TDM
- Light Rail Transit (LRT) with TSM/TDM
- Freeway Tunnel with TSM/TDM*
 - No Toll (Dual Bore Tunnel)
 - Tolled (Single and Dual Bore Tunnel)
 - Tolled with Express Bus (Single and Dual Bore Tunnel)

* All variations will be evaluated with and without trucks inside the tunnel

Preliminary Engineering Update

- Addressing Metro and Caltrans comments
- Refined elements of TSM/TDM Alternative
- Completed tunnel drainage system design
- Completed stage construction overview
- Evaluating construction schedule & equipment needs
- Coordinating with environmental team for technical studies
- Developing construction and O&M cost estimates







Freeway Tunnel Update





Environmental Technical Studies

Draft Review by CT/Metro	Revision by CH2M HILL	Final Review by CT/Metro	Ready for Draft EIR/EIS
Air Quality	Energy	Hazardous Materials	Paleontological
Cumulative Impacts	Visual Impact Assessment	Wetland Resources	Drainage
	Noise	Biological Resources	Location Hydraulics
	Vibration	Relocation Impacts	Floodplain
	Community Impact	Archaeological Survey	Geologic Hazards
	Economic and Fiscal Impacts	Water Quality	
	Historic Property Survey Report		
	Section 4(f)		
	Health Risk Assessment		
	Traffic		



Technical Studies Update Air Quality

- The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is a nonattainment area for the following criteria pollutants standards:
 - Ozone (O₃) for Federal and State
 - PM₁₀ for State
 - PM_{2.5} for Federal and State
 - Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) for State
 - Lead (Pb) for Federal and State (LA County only)





Technical Studies Update Health Risk Assessment

- Defines emission sources (vehicle exhaust emissions from highways, arterials, and freeway tunnel ventilation towers)
- Quantifies mobile source air toxic emissions (MSATs) from each source, 8 types of MSAT emissions
 - 1) Benzene
 - 2) Acrolein
 - 3) Acetaldehyde
 - 4) Formaldehyde
 - 5) 1-3 Butadiene
 - 6) Naphthalene
 - 7) Polycyclic organic matter (POM)
 - 8) Diesel particulate Matter (DPM)









Technical Studies Update Visual Impact Assessment

> Six step process to analyze visual impacts:

- 1) Define project setting and viewshed
- 2) Identify Key Views (i.e., representative views)
- Analyze existing visual resources and viewer response
- Depict visual appearance of project alternatives (i.e., visual simulations)
- 5) Assess the visual impacts of project alternatives
- 6) Identify methods to mitigate adverse visual impacts







CEQA/NEPA Process

➢ Final EIR/EIS

- Response to Comments
- Identification of Preferred Alternative
- ➢ Final EIR/EIS distributed
- Notice of Determination (CEQA)
- Record of Decision (NEPA)



Cost-Benefit Discussion Topics

- Background on CBA
- CBA process for SR 710 North Study
- > Approach
- Draft results format
- CBA Status



General Overview of Cost-Benefit Analysis

➤ A CBA is

Metro

- A method of economic appraisal to determine value of a project
- A way of comparing the costs of a project with the benefits that it will deliver
- CBA is used by governments and funding agencies to
 - Provide indicators and metrics of value for money
 - Aid the process of screening and comparisons across modes and policy mixes
 - Help refine a large number of options to a manageable short-list
 - Help refine design



Cost-Benefit Analysis: Opportunities and Challenges

Opportunities:

- · Allows different alternatives to be compared
- · Assesses impacts in a commonly understood (monetary) unit
- · Provides several monetized measures of value
- Assesses impacts arising at different times in a comparable way (through the use of a discount rate)
- Challenges:
 - · Requires a direct comparison of benefits to costs
 - · Reduces impacts to dollar units only
 - Does not address impacts that cannot be monetized reasonably
 - May not be sufficient or ideal for comparing very different options











Approach to Calculating Cost-Benefit Ratios

Time Saving Benefits:

- Change in the time a user spends travelling from an origin to a destination
- Using the 2025 and 2035 projected trips from the regional demand model, we estimate future annual trips, for the No Build and SR 710 North Study alternatives within a "Capture Area"
- Annual trips are multiplied by the travel time for No Build and each alternative (also taken from regional demand model)
- Time savings are difference between alternative and No Build
- Annual travel time savings are multiplied by the value of time and average vehicle occupancy for each mode to convert travel time savings into dollar values

Metro



Approach to Calculating Cost-Benefit Ratios

Vehicle Operating Cost

- Change in costs associated with operating the vehicle over the road segments involved in the SR 710 North Study capture area, compared with the no build.
- Captures fuel consumption and non-fuel operating costs (e.g. vehicle wear and tear)
- Safety Benefits
 - Detailed safety analysis predicted volume and type of crashes for different alternatives
 - · These are monetized using the economic cost of a fatality
- Emissions
 - Emissions of pollutants vary by vehicle hours travelled and speed of travel
 - Volumes of gases emitted are estimated for each alternative and incremental difference to No Build monetized



Approach to Calculating Cost-Benefit Ratios

Costs

- Capital expenditure
- Right-of-way cost
- Operating and maintenance expenditure

Residual Value

- A residual value has been included at the end of the 20-year appraisal period for the tunnel alternatives as they are assumed to have a 100-year asset life
- This includes the tunnel elements of the LRT alternative

➤ Tolls/Fares

 Tolls/fares have not been taken into account because it is a transfer of cost/benefit as per USDOT guidance

Metro[®]

47



CBA Draft Results Format Alternativ Discounted, Present Value \$x Capital Cost (millions) \$y **Operational Cost (millions)** \$z Residual Value (millions) Net Project Cost (millions) \$x+v-z Time saving benefits (millions) \$a \$b Vehicle operating cost savings (millions) \$c Accident savings (millions) \$d Change in emissions (millions) Total Benefit a+b+c+d \$(a+b+c+d)-Net Present Value (millions) (x+y-z) <u>a+b+c+d</u> x+y-z Benefit to Cost Ratio Notes: (1) Values are in 2012 prices, adjusted to start of the construction period 48









Open Discussion

